

[www.acga-asia.org](http://www.acga-asia.org)

Asian Corporate Governance Association (ACGA)

# “The Corporate Governance Rollercoaster in China: How Should Investors Respond?”

Presentation by:

Jamie Allen  
Secretary General  
Asian Corporate Governance Association  
Hong Kong

With additional research by:  
Li Rui, Research Analyst, ACGA

# Agenda

1. ACGA's assessment of corporate governance in China
2. Questions on the recent stock market boom and bust
3. What can investors do to help to raise CG standards in China?

# Introduction to ACGA

- An independent, non-profit membership association dedicated to facilitating improvements in corporate governance in Asia through research, advocacy and educational initiatives. Founded in Hong Kong in 1999 following—and as a response to—the Asian Financial Crisis of 1997-98.
- ACGA is unusual in having a membership that includes participants from across sectors and geographies. More than 100 organisations, including:
  - Global and regional pension funds and institutional investors
  - Listed companies based in Asia
  - Major multilateral banks
  - International leaders in accounting, insurance and financial services
  - University business schools
- Institutional investor members manage more than US\$20 trillion globally.

# Membership Network

- ACGA's membership network comprises a broad range of more than 100 Asian and global organisations with a strong interest in corporate governance. Members include:



# 1. A short history of CG reform in China

The Asian Financial Crisis was the turning point for CG reform in Asia. China, though less directly affected, was influenced by these trends and got an early start with some quite bold reforms:

Year	CG Developments in China
2001	Guidelines on independent directors (CSRC)
2002	National Code of Corporate Governance (CSRC)
2003	Directive on quarterly reporting (CSRC)
2005	Bank governance guidelines (China Banking Regulatory Commission) and start of State-owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission (SASAC) pilot programme on boards in central SOEs.
2007	Convergence of accounting and auditing standards with IFRS/ISA
	CSRC launched three-year campaign to strengthen listed company governance—use of funds, operation of board, internal controls.
2008	SSE launched its Corporate Governance Index
2013	CBRC new Corporate Governance Guidelines for commercial banks
2014	CAPCO guidelines on INEDs. CSRC rules on separate counting and disclosure for votes by the minority.

# How we rank China: “CG Watch”

## “CG Watch” survey. Market scores: 2010 to 2014

(%)	2010	2012	2014	Change 2012 vs 2014 (ppt)	Trend of CG reform
<b>1. = Hong Kong</b>	65	66	<b>65</b>	(-1)	Weak leadership, tough enforcement
<b>1. = Singapore</b>	67	69	<b>64</b>	(-5)	International vs local contrast continues
<b>3. Japan</b>	57	55	<b>60</b>	(+5)	Landmark changes, can they be sustained?
<b>4. = Thailand</b>	55	58	<b>58</b>	-	Improving, but new legislation needed
<b>4. = Malaysia</b>	52	55	<b>58</b>	(+3)	Improving, but still too top-down
<b>6. Taiwan</b>	55	53	<b>56</b>	(+3)	Bold policy moves, can they be sustained?
<b>7. India</b>	48	51	<b>54</b>	(+3)	Bouncing back, Delhi more supportive
<b>8. Korea</b>	45	49	<b>49</b>	-	Indifferent leader, more active regulators
<b>9. China</b>	49	45	<b>45</b>	-	Focus on SOE reform, enforcement
<b>10. = Philippines</b>	37	41	<b>40</b>	(-1)	Slow reform, improved company reporting
<b>10. = Indonesia</b>	40	37	<b>39</b>	(+2)	Big ambitions, can they be achieved?

Source: ACGA, CLSA

# “CG Watch 2014”: Category scores

## Market category scores

(%)	Total	CG Rules & Practices	Enforcement	Political & Regulatory	IGAAP	CG Culture
1. = Hong Kong	65	61	71	69	72	51
1. = Singapore	64	63	56	64	85	54
3. Japan	60	48	62	61	72	55
4. = Thailand	58	62	51	48	80	50
4. = Malaysia	58	55	47	59	85	43
6. Taiwan	56	48	47	63	75	47
7. India	54	57	46	58	57	51
8. Korea	49	46	46	45	72	34
<b>9. China</b>	<b>45</b>	42	40	44	67	34
10. = Philippines	40	40	18	42	65	33
10. = Indonesia	39	34	24	44	62	32

Source: ACGA, CLSA

# CSRC vs SFC enforcement 2015

Enforcement category	CSRC (to August 31, 2015)	SFC (to August 18, 2015)
Market manipulation	10	-
Insider trading	7	4
Utilising unpublished information	6	-
Non-standard trading procedures / disseminating fictitious information	19 (including 5 major securities firms in August)	-
Operational failure	-	10
Regulatory breaches (eg, unlicensed trading)	-	11
Banning/suspensions	-	10
Cold shoulders / disqualifications	-	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>42</b>



## 2. Questions on the boom/bust

- Should any government / regulator be actively encouraging people to invest in stocks?
- Were the rules on margin trading too permissive?
- Was the official media irresponsible in pumping up the market boom?

# The monetary policy context

## 1. Change of the benchmark rate of one-year loan/saving:

No.	Effective Date	The benchmark rate of one-year loan		The benchmark rate of one-year saving	
		Change ↓	Rate after change	Change ↓	Rate after change
1	2014.11.22	40 basis points	5.6%	25 basis points	2.75%
2	2015.3.1	25 basis points	5.35%	25 basis points	2.5%
3	2015.5.11	25 basis points	5.1%	25 basis points	2.25%
4	<b>2015.6.28</b>	25 basis points	4.85%	25 basis points	2%
5	2015.8.26	25 basis points	4.6%	25 basis points	1.75%
6	<b>2015.10.24</b>	25 basis points	<b>4.35%</b>	25 basis points	<b>1.5%</b>

Source: People's Bank of China

## 1. Change of the deposit reserve ratio:

No.	Effective Date	Change ↓	The Deposit Reserve Ratio before change		The Deposit Reserve Ratio after change	
			Large Financial Institutions	Small-Medium Financial Institutions	Large Financial Institutions	Small-Medium Financial Institutions
1	2015.2.5	50 basis points	20%	16.5%	19.5%	16%
2	2015.4.20	100 basis points	19.5%	16%	18.5%	15%
3	<b>2015.6.28</b>	50 basis points	18.5%	15%	18%	14.5%
4	2015.9.6	50 basis points	18%	14.5%	17.5%	14%
5	<b>2015.10.24</b>	50 basis points	17.5%	14%	<b>17%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>

Source: People's Bank of China

# Monetary policy and market performance

SSE Composite Index from June 14 2014 to September 13 2015



Source: ACGA


# A short history of margin trading

- **2010.01.08** The State Council agreed to launch margin trading and short selling trial.
- **2010.03.31** China launched its margin trading and short selling trial programme in Shanghai and Shenzhen Stock Exchanges.
- **2013.04** Major securities firms in China lower the barrier of margin trading and short selling from account balance of 500K to 100K.
- **2014.08.07** Some major securities firm in China further lowered the barrier of margin trading and short selling from 100K to 50K and other firms followed afterwards.
- **2014.09.12** Shanghai and Shenzhen Stock Exchanges jointly announced an increase to 205 stocks in total (104 for Shanghai and 101 for Shenzhen) on the margin trading and short selling target list.
- **\*2015.01** Securities firms set the barrier of margin trading and short selling back to account balance of 500K.



# What the People's Daily said...

SSE Composite Index from June 14 2014 to September 13 2015



- **2014.12.9** *“As long as the stock market fluctuations have not threaten the domestic economy, the government should not interfere into it.”*
- **2015.4.21** *“SSE 4000 is only the starting point of the Chinese bull market.”*
- **2015.5.8** *“The momentum of a long-term bull market has not changed.”*
- **2015.7.20** *“Government intervention in a stock market crisis is an international convention.”*

Source: People's Daily




# Policies to “save” the market

- **2015.7.1** Stock settlement fees lowered by about 33%.
- **2015.7.3** CSRC increased QFII quota from US\$80 billion to US\$150 billion.
- **2015.7.4** State Council decided to postpone IPOs, reopen date not known.
- **2015.7.4** CSRC asked 21 security firms together to buy at least 120 billion yuan in blue-chips ETF and asked 25 fund companies to buy their own equity funds and hold at least for one year.
- **2015.7.8** Ministry of Finance promised that it will not sell its shareholdings and asked management of major listed companies to hold their own shareholdings for next six months.
- **2015 7.8** China Security Finance Corporation provided 260 billion yuan (US\$41.87 billion) in credit lines to 21 brokerages to help them buy stocks via proprietary trading.
- **2015.7.9** CSRC started cooperation with the Ministry of Public Security to investigate several alleged market manipulation cases.
- **2015.9.12** CSRC announced 240 million yuan fines for four major domestic brokers for not properly following margin trading rules.



# Market performance after “saving policies”




Source: Yahoo Finance

ACGA Presentation  
ICI Global Networking, September 2015



# Economic development and market performance

## Korea GDP and KOSPI Index correlation from 1997-2014




Source: World Bank and Yahoo Finance



# Economic development and market performance


## Hong Kong GDP and HSI correlation from 1986-2014



Source: World Bank and Yahoo Finance

# Economic development and market performance


## Taiwan GDP and TSEC Weighted Index correlation from 1997-2014



Source: World Bank and Yahoo Finance

# Economic development and market performance


## China GDP and SSE Index correlation from 1990-2014



Source: World Bank and Yahoo Finance

# Economic development and market performance

## China GDP and SZSE Index correlation from 1991-2014



Source: World Bank and Yahoo Finance

# Why is China out of sync?

- Majority of market cap historically dominated by big SOEs, yet majority of new jobs and economic value is being created by the private sector. (This does not apply to Shenzhen, however.)
- Historic reliance on bank loans rather than equity capital raising.
- Arbitrary moratoriums on IPOs. While these may have helped the index in the short term, they have also damaged trust in the market among sophisticated investors and its role as an efficient allocator of capital.

No	Date	No. trading days	Performance of SSE Index
1	July 21 – December 7, 1994	98	+65.75%
2	January 19 – June 9, 1995	96	+18.36%
3	July 5, 1995 – January 3, 1996	128	-12.6%
4	July 31 – November 2, 2001	69	-13.57%
5	August 26, 2004 – January 23, 2005	101	-7.86%
6	May 25, 2005 – January 2, 2006	264	+49.53%
7	September 16, 2008 – July 10, 2009	191	+43.09%
8	November 16, 2012 – Jan 17, 2014	274	+1.89%
9	July 4, 2015 – Nov 30, 2015	<b>103</b>	<b>-7.29%</b>


## 4. What can investors do?

Institutional investors cannot change the structure of the market overnight, nor can you influence monetary policy. However individually and collectively the industry could play a positive role over the next 5-10 years. For example, engaging with the government for:

1. More policy consistency, less arbitrary decision-making (eg, no more IPO moratoriums).
  2. Stricter rules on voluntary suspensions.
  3. Stricter rules on margin trading for small accounts.
  4. More consistent, transparent and expanded regulatory enforcement.
  5. Upgraded CG standards for companies—China's CG Code is now quite out of date (2001).
- And engage with companies who will listen to your advice.

# Correlation between SSE and HSI

## Shanghai Index and Hang Seng Index from June 14 2014 to September 13 2015



Source: Yahoo Finance

# Contact details

Jamie Allen  
Secretary General

Asian Corporate Governance Association Ltd

Room 1801, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor, Wilson House  
19-27 Wyndham Street, Central, Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2160 1789

Fax: (852) 2147 3818

Email: [jamie@acga-asia.org](mailto:jamie@acga-asia.org)

Website: [www.acga-asia.org](http://www.acga-asia.org)